# City of Essex Junction, VT Development Application

For Office Use: SP 2, 2024, 1 Permit #

				Periliit #	
Planned Unit Developm	ent: Scale: _ -	Minor Major	Stage: Cone Prel Fina	iminary	
Site Plan:	Scale: _	Minor Major	Stage: Conce X Fina		
Subdivision:	Type: _	Sketch Prelimina	Other: Vari	ance ditional Use	
Property description (add	ress) for appli		29 Pearl Street - Parcel II 207-066-10350	D: 1040042000	
General Information					
Applicant: <b>Handy F</b> Address: <b>197 Pea</b> Email Address: <b>h</b> a	rl Street, Suite	e 100, Essex Jun		e#: <b>(802) 878-8920</b>	
Owner of Record (attach a	affidavit if not	applicant)			
Owner: <b>Same as C</b> Address:	as Owner Daytime Phone#:				
Applicant's agents Name: <b>Greg Dixson - K</b> Address: <b>164 Main Str</b> Email Address: <b>greg.di</b>	eet, Suite 201	, Colchester, V		Phone#: <b>(802) 878-0375</b>	
Property information Zoning District: M Lot # 1040042000	-	Current Use R Lot size sf 0	Residential 1.96 Acres (±41,800 s.f)	Tax Map # <b>1040042000</b>	
Lot coverage (include all s Existing (sq ft.): ±1	of stories 4 St Spaces 44 e Attached Pr structures and 1,100 sf. plu	reliminary Cost impervious sur us proposed (sq	Proposed height ±4 Estimated complet Required spaces 39 Estimates and prelimina face) .ft.): ±10,600 sf. equals alot coverage: 51.9%	on date TBD  ary landscape budget.	

Submit one (1) full size copies, a PDF copy, GIS and supporting documentation required by the Code and the appropriate completed checklist for initial review by Staff. After Staff determines the application is complete, attach one (1) full size copies and six (6) 18" x 24" copies of your proposal, forty-five (45) days prior to a scheduled meeting. Applications that are not complete cannot be accepted for review.

Briefly describe your proposal (at	tach separate sheet if neces	sary) See Narrative	
Describe all waiver requests (if ap Reduce the driving width of the		) 22¹.	
I certify that the information on this as specified in the land developm accordance with the Essex Junction applicant, by signing this form agrinspections by the City Engineer.	ent code and any conditions on City Council Policy for Fund	placed upon approval of t ing Engineer Plan Review o	this application. In and Inspections, the
Applicant  Land Owner (if different)  RECEIV	otel; & Restul LCC	Date Date Date	24
Staff Action MAY 0 6  Date received: City of Essex  Board Action Approved	Junction	Meeting date:	
Other approvals/conditions:			
**Fee based on sq.ft. of improve	ed area per current Fee Scho	edule	
Staff Signature		Date	(
	Fee Amount: **  \$5,865.00	Fee Verified: <b>PAID</b> MAY 0 7 2024	
Form Revision 20230929	Page 2 of 2	City of Essex Junction	Essex Junction



P: (802) 878-0375 | email@krebsandlansing.com

May 6, 2024

Chris Yuen
Community Development Director
City of Essex Junction
2 Lincoln Street
Essex Junction, VT 05452

Re: Sunderland Apartments - 227 Pearl Street Project – Proposed Residential Building Final Plan Development Application

Dear Chris,

The property owner of 227-229 Pearl Street is proposing to amend the approved residential building project on the existing lot, that permit is number SP-1.203.1. The new Applicant is proposing a different building layout, different site layout and additional units to the original submission. The new design will have 39 dwelling units on 4 stories, this is an increase of 5 additional units. The 39 new residential dwelling units will also now be a combination of studio units, single bedroom units, and double bedroom units. We have attached plans and calculations to help illustrate the proposed project, those are:

- Final Development Application and Checklist
- Civil Plan Set with Details
- Architectural Drawings/Renderings
- Landscape Architectural Plans Note, the project is reusing the existing landscaping plan, we understand the building has changed but the available space for landscaping remains the same and the plantings would mirror for the new layout. The project is proposing the same amount of landscaping and costs.
- Lighting Plans Note, the project is reusing the existing lighting plan, again we understand the building has changed but the available space for lighting remains the same and the lights would mirror for the new layout. The project is proposing the same type and amount of lighting.
- Preliminary Cost Estimate with Required Landscaping Budget
- Water and Sewer Allocation Request letter, Flow Calculations, Sewer Application, and Sewer Analysis
- Boundary Plat by O'Leary Burke Civil Associates, PLC Dated 11-7-22

Note: Stormwater Analysis Remains the same from the conceptual submission. We did not reattach that analysis to this submission. However, let me know if the material is needed again.

Thank you for your time reviewing the project. We look forward to your review and input. Please reach out if there are any additional comments, questions, or concerns.

Sincerely,

Greg Dixson, P.E.



P: (802) 878-0375 | greg.dixson@krebsandlansing.com

May 29, 2024

Chris Yuen
Community Development Director
City of Essex Junction
2 Lincoln Street
Essex Junction, VT 05452

Re: Sunderland Apartments - 227 Pearl Street Project - Proposed Residential Building

Dear Chris,

Below are detailed responses to each request from City Staff and the City's Engineer. Please let us know if there is anything more you need.

1. **Landscaping and Lighting Plans:** As per site plan application requirements, please submit updated versions of the landscaping and lighting plan, reflecting of the proposed site layout.

The project sent this revision to the City on 5/28/24.

2. **Include all design features:** Please ensure the 3D models and elevations include any visible features such as plaques and monuments. For example, a "Gabe Handy 202X" plaque, if that is intended, has been included for several of his other recent buildings.

The models do not currently include this plaque. We will reach back out to the City if this is a future desire of the Applicant/Owner.

3. **Colors and Materials:** During the Conceptual Site Plan Hearing, the DRB asked that the applicant explores "different surface treatments to ensure the front and side of the building doesn't look overly flat". The architectural drawings indicate a single material -"LP Smartside LAP Siding", to be used on the side of the building. We suggest that the building incorporate the use of at least two materials on the side of the building to create some more visual contrast to address the DRB's previous comments. As per Land Development Code Section 620.C.3, please provide a description of the color and materials to be used on the exterior of the building. Photographs of the material would also be helpful.

See updated Architectural plans and renderings.

4. Long-Term Bike Parking: The plans currently indicate long-term bike parking in the basement. We note that ground floor bike parking tends to be more useful for tenants who regularly travel by bicycles. As such, we recommend that long-term bike parking be provided on the ground floor, potentially in a separate accessory structure. If you wish to keep the currently proposed configuration in the basement, please show that the dimensions of the elevator would be

Project added a small basement with bike storage for this purpose.

5. **Floor plans:** We have not yet received a printed version of the floor plans. These should be included. Please add proposed apartment numbers to the floor plans in accordance with Section 7 of the Vermont E-911 Addressing Standards. Rooms such as laundry rooms and storage rooms, should be numbered as well.

See updated Architectural plans and renderings.

6. **Fence height:** In general, the LDC limits fences to a height of 6 feet. In accordance with Section 707.B.5, "any nontransparent fence located in a front yard shall not exceed twenty-nine (29) inches in height unless it meets the front yard setback for the Zoning District in which it is located." Please indicate fence height on the plans accordingly.

Project fence with the neighboring property owner will be 6' tall. It will be reduced to 29" or the fence will end once in the front yard setback. A note to this affect has been added to C-1.00.

# **Engineers Questions:**

1. The applicant has submitted a letter to the Water Quality Superintendent requesting additional sewer and water allocations for this project from the City. The total sewer and water allocations for this project will be 6,860 gallons per day and 8,260 gallons per day respectively, an increase of 5,390 gpd and 6,300 gpd over the existing 1,470 gpd and 1,960 gpd for sewer and water.

Agreed, if allocation for the first project with 34 units has not already been paid for. If it has the project feels it would not be appropriate to charge for that allocation twice.

2. We recommend a condition of approval of this project requiring the submission of record drawings for site utilities to the City of Essex Junction upon completion of construction, in both AutoCAD and PDF format. The City would also like to request this information be provided in shapefile format in Vermont State Plane US Survey Feet, NAD83(2011).

As-Built Record drawing nots have been added to the revised plan C-2.02.

3. The applicant has indicated that "the project is reusing the existing landscaping plan, we understand the building has changed but the available space for landscaping remains the same and the plantings would mirror for the new layout. The project is proposing the same amount of landscaping and costs." We recommend that the applicant revise and resubmit the landscaping plans to reflect the current proposal.

Landscaping and Lighting plan revisions were sent to the City on 5/28/24.

4. The plans include notes on Sheet C-2.00, C-2.01, and C-2.02 stating "All sewer, water, and storm drainage utilities installed on the project side to be observed by an authorized representative of the City of Essex Junction prior to backfilling the utility being installed." This note should be revised to state "...on the project site [emphasis added] to be observed by ...".

Adjusted.



5. On sheet C-2.00, Additional Notes and Testing Requirements note #2 should be revised to reference the 11/06/2023 version of the Environmental Protection Rules, instead of the 04/12/2019 version as currently shown.

Adjusted.

6. On sheet C-2.00, Additional Notes and Testing Requirements note #6 and note #7 of the Water & Sewer Construction Notes should be revised to require 48 hours advance notification to the City of Essex Junction instead of 24 hours as currently shown.

Adjusted.

7. We recommend a condition of approval that the location of the fire department connection for the proposed building be approved by the City of Essex Junction Fire Department.

Project shows the FD Connection in the location on the building closet to the hydrant. However, the project is more than happy to accommodate and work with City Fire Department to adjust. The project is okay with this condition.

8. The Site Plan depicts proposed temporary jersey barriers for temporary lane closures. The plan should be revised to increase the length of the merging taper per the MUTCD requirements.

Adjustments to the traffic plan have been made to meet the requirements of the MUTCD. Please see revised C-2.05.

9. Sheet C-2.05 presents a temporary traffic control plan and related notes. Notwithstanding item #8 above, we find this plan acceptable. However, Flaggers and Uniformed Traffic Officers note #2 should be revised to require the contractor to provide the City of Essex Junction with copies of the flagger certifications, not the State of Vermont as currently written.

Adjusted.

1. The applicant is seeking a waiver of the LDC requirements to allow a 22' wide travel aisle in the parking area. The LDC requires a minimum of 24' wide travel aisle in parking areas for two-way traffic. We defer to the City Planning staff and DRB for additional discussion on this waiver request.

This is the same waiver we requested for the previously approved project. We are requesting this again for the same reasons as previously approved.

2. On sheet C-2.01, the Bike Rack Detail should be revised to provide a minimum of 36" between racks per the LDC, instead of 30" as currently shown.

Adjusted.



1. The Site Plan includes a note for the eastern infiltration basin stating "Basin areas will also double as SNOW STORAGE areas.". The note for the western infiltration basin includes the statement to "Minimize SNOW STORAGE within the basins to the extent practical." Note #6 of the Grass Swale & Infiltration Basin Cross Section detail on Sheet C-2.03 also includes a similar statement to "Minimize storing snow during the winter months in the infiltration basin to the extent practical." As snow should not be stored in stormwater best management practices, the note for the eastern infiltration basin should, at a minimum, be revised to include the statement to "Minimize snow storage within the basins to the extent practical."

#### Adjusted.

2. The applicant stated that the "Stormwater Analysis Remains the same from the conceptual submission. We did not reattach that analysis to this submission. However, let me know if the material is needed again." We do not see a need to resubmit the drainage computations if they have not changed. However, the City requests a copy of the HydroCAD file for the project, as the City utilizes this information to demonstrate compliance with the City's MS4 permit.

Miss understood the comment. All the stormwater information including HydroCAD computations were sent in with the Conceptual Plan Application for this updated project. The City should have everything they need. However, if anything more is needed please let the project know.

1. On sheet C-1.00, there is a note stating "All existing water services shall be abandoned by disconnecting the existing service from the curb stop and closing the existing corporation stop at the water main. This work shall be witnessed by an authorized representative of the City with a minimum 48 hour notice." This note should be revised to require the existing service to be disconnected from the **corporation** stop in addition to closing the corporation stop and the water main.

### Adjusted.

2. On sheet C-2.01, Typical Gate Valve detail note #5 states to "Check with the municipality for opening and closing rotations and minimum working pressure." This note should be revised to reflect the LDC requirements for a minimum working pressure of 200 psi and "open left" rotation for gate valves.

# Adjusted.

1. On sheet C-2.00, Sanitary Sewer note #1 of the Water & Sewer Construction Notes should be revised to reference the 11/06/2023 version of the Environmental Protection Rules, instead of the 04/12/2019 version as currently shown.

### Adjusted.

2. On sheet C-2.00, Sanitary Sewer note #2 of the Water & Sewer Construction Notes states "Test procedures and pressure shall be determined jointly by the local approval agency and the

*engineer.*" This note should be revised to reference LDC Section 115.D.6 for test procedures and pressure for vacuum testing of sanitary manholes.

Adjusted.

3. On sheet C-2.03, the Sanitary Trench Detail should be revised to revise the minimum cover dimension note to refer to sewer lines instead of water lines as currently shown.

Adjusted.

4. On sheet C-2.03, Sanitary Mains Notes #5 should be revised to reference the 11/06/2023 version of the Environmental Protection Rules, instead of the 04/12/2019 version as currently shown.

Adjusted.

5. On sheet C-2.03, the Storm/Sanitary Sewer Cleanout Detail should be revised to require a watertight threaded cap inside the valve box; an open vertical pipe is not acceptable.

Adjusted.

1. The plans should be revised to include a note requiring the contractor to remove any erosion control blanket/matting installed in the City right-of-way upon vegetation establishment.

Adjusted.

1. The applicant has stated that "the project is reusing the existing lighting plan, again we understand the building has changed but the available space for lighting remains the same and the lights would mirror for the new layout. The project is proposing the same type and amount of lighting." We recommend that the applicant revise and resubmit the lighting plan to reflect the current proposal.

Landscaping and Lighting plan revisions were sent to the City on 5/28/24.

2. The site plan should be revised to depict the locations of proposed light fixtures.

Shown on Civil site plan as well.

### **Included Materials:**

- Revised Civil Plan Set (sent to City 5/29/23)
- Overall Project Cost Estimate (sent to City 5/28/23)
- Updated Lighting plan (sent to City 5/28/23)

1.69

- Updated Lighting Details (sent to City 5/28/23)
- Updated Landscape Plan (sent to City 5/28/23)
- Updated Landscape Detail (sent to City 5/28/23)
- Updated Landscape Cost Estimate (sent to City 5/28/23)

Please let me know if there is anything more you need to evaluate this project. I would be happy to answer any questions.

Sincerely,

Greg Dixson, P.E.



P 802-878-6944, ext. 1607 F: 802.878.6946 E: cyuen@essexjunction.org

June 6, 2024

Greg Dixon
Krebs & Lansing Consulting Engineers
164 Main Street, Suite 201
Colchester VT 05446

Dear Mr. Dixon,

Thank you for submitting materials for the final site plan review of 227-229 Pearl Street. We have reviewed your application material and find that a few issues remain outstanding. All previous comments from the Community Development Department has been addressed, but some items remain from the City Engineer's review, attached in a separate letter. Please address the remaining items and submit digital and paper copies by June 12, 2024, in preparation for the June 20, 2024 Development Review Board hearing.

Please do not hesitate to reach out to city staff if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Christopher Yuen

Community Development Director

City of Essex Junction

# DONALD L. HAMLIN CONSULTING ENGINEERS, INC.

### ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS

P.O. Box 9 Essex Junction Vermont 05453

Please reply to:

136 Pearl Street Essex Junction, Vermont Tel. (802) 878-3956 Fax (802) 878-2679 www.dlhce.com

June 4, 2024

Mr. Chris Yuen City of Essex Junction 2 Lincoln Street Essex Junction, Vermont 05452

Re: 227 Pearl Street Final Submittal #2

Dear Mr. Yuen:

We have reviewed the second submittal of plans and supporting information that we received electronically for the above referenced project. The plans reviewed as part of this submittal are presented below:

227 Pearl Street – Final Submittal #2						
Sheet #	Sheet Name	Dated	Last Revised			
Prepared By: Krebs & Lansing						
C-0.00	Overall Property Plan, Existing Conditions	05/06/24				
C-1.00	Proposed Site Plan	05/06/24	05/29/24			
C-1.01	Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control Plan	05/06/24	05/29/24			
C-2.00	Details	05/06/24	05/29/24			
C-2.01	Details	05/06/24	05/29/24			
C-2.02	Details	05/06/24	05/29/24			
C-2.03	Details	05/06/24	05/29/24			
C-2.04	Details	05/06/24	05/29/24			
C-2.05	Details	05/06/24	05/29/24			
Prepared By: Daniel Goltzman Design & Development						
A101	Floor Plans	05/16/24				
A200	Elevations	05/16/24				
A500	3D Views	05/16/24				
Prepared By: T.J. Boyle Associates						
L1.0	Landscape Plan	05/28/24				
L1.1	Lighting Plan	05/28/24				
L2.1	Lighting Details	05/28/24				
L2.2	Lighting Details	05/28/24				

For our review, we utilized the most recent edition of the City of Essex Junction Land Development Code, dated June 14, 2023, hereinafter referred to as the "LDC". For convenience, we have presented the previous review comments from our May 23, 2024 comment letter with the original number and text in plain text, followed by action taken by the applicant or further comments regarding the items from the previous letter in bold text. At the end of this letter are Additional Comments based on our review of newly submitted information. The comments presented in this letter represent a compilation of comments from our office and the City of Essex Junction Public Works Department.

#### General

- 1) The applicant has submitted a letter to the Water Quality Superintendent requesting additional sewer and water allocations for this project from the City. The total sewer and water allocations for this project will be 6,860 gallons per day and 8,260 gallons per day respectively, an increase of 5,390 gpd and 6,300 gpd over the existing 1,470 gpd and 1,960 gpd for sewer and water.
  - No response necessary. This comment was intended to document the previously existing flows, proposed increases, and final total flows allocated for both water and sewer for this project.
- 2) We recommend a condition of approval of this project requiring the submission of record drawings for site utilities to the City of Essex Junction upon completion of construction, in both AutoCAD and PDF format. The City would also like to request this information be provided in shapefile format in Vermont State Plane US Survey Feet, NAD83(2011).
  - Notes have been added to Sheet C-2.02 in response to this comment to require the contractor to provide "a complete utility record drawing in AutoCAD and PDF format". Accordingly, we recommend a condition of approval requiring the submission of record drawings for site utilities to the City of Essex Junction upon completion of construction, in both AutoCAD and PDF format, in Vermont State Plane US Survey Feet, NAD83(2011).
- 3) The applicant has indicated that "the project is reusing the existing landscaping plan, we understand the building has changed but the available space for landscaping remains the same and the plantings would mirror for the new layout. The project is proposing the same amount of landscaping and costs." The applicant should revise and resubmit the landscaping plans to reflect the current proposal.
  - ✓ The applicant has submitted revised landscaping plans. Please see the Additional Comments section at the end of this letter for comments pertaining to the revised landscaping plans.
- 4) The plans include notes on Sheet C-2.00, C-2.01, and C-2.02 stating "All sewer, water, and storm drainage utilities installed on the project side to be observed by an authorized representative of the City of Essex Junction prior to backfilling the utility being installed." This note should be revised to state "...on the project <u>site</u> [emphasis added] to be observed by ...".
  - ✓ This comment has been addressed.
- 5) On sheet C-2.00, Additional Notes and Testing Requirements note #2 should be revised to reference the 11/06/2023 version of the Environmental Protection Rules, instead of the 04/12/2019 version as currently shown.
  - ✓ This comment has been addressed.
- 6) On sheet C-2.00, Additional Notes and Testing Requirements note #6 and note #7 of the Water & Sewer Construction Notes should be revised to require 48 hours advance notification to the City of Essex Junction instead of 24 hours as currently shown.
  - √ This comment has been addressed.

- 7) We recommend a condition of approval that the location of the fire department connection and KnoxBox for the proposed building be approved by the City of Essex Junction Fire Department.
  - > The applicant has accepted this condition. Accordingly, we recommend a condition of approval that the location of the fire department connection and KnoxBox for the proposed building be approved by the City of Essex Junction Fire Department.
- 8) The Site Plan depicts proposed temporary jersey barriers for temporary lane closures. The plan should be revised to increase the length of the merging taper per the MUTCD requirements.
  - √ This comment has been addressed.
- 9) Sheet C-2.05 presents a temporary traffic control plan and related notes. Notwithstanding item #6 above, we find this plan acceptable. However, Flaggers and Uniformed Traffic Officers note #2 should be revised to require the contractor to provide the City of Essex Junction with copies of the flagger certifications, not the State of Vermont as currently written.
  - ✓ This comment has been addressed.

### <u>Site Layout – Roadways, Drives, Parking, and Walkways</u>

- 1) The applicant is seeking a waiver of the LDC requirements to allow a 22' wide travel aisle in the parking area. The LDC requires a minimum of 24' wide travel aisle in parking areas for two-way traffic. We defer to the City Planning staff and DRB for additional discussion on this waiver request.
  - Same comment.
- 2) On sheet C-2.01, the Bike Rack Detail should be revised to provide a minimum of 36" between racks per the LDC, instead of 30" as currently shown.
  - √ This comment has been addressed.

#### **Grading & Drainage**

- 1) The Site Plan includes a note for the eastern infiltration basin stating "Basin areas will also double as SNOW STORAGE areas.". The note for the western infiltration basin includes the statement to "Minimize SNOW STORAGE within the basins to the extent practical." Note #6 of the Grass Swale & Infiltration Basin Cross Section detail on Sheet C-2.03 also includes a similar statement to "Minimize storing snow during the winter months in the infiltration basin to the extent practical." As snow should not be stored in stormwater best management practices, the note for the eastern infiltration basin should, at a minimum, be revised to include the statement to "Minimize snow storage within the basins to the extent practical."
  - ✓ This comment has been addressed.
- 2) The applicant stated that the "Stormwater Analysis Remains the same from the conceptual submission. We did not reattach that analysis to this submission. However, let me know if the material is needed again." We do not see a need to resubmit the drainage computations if they have not changed. However, the City requests a copy of the HydroCAD file for the project, as the City utilizes this information to demonstrate compliance with the City's MS4 permit.
  - > The applicant indicated that "All the stormwater information including HydroCAD computations were sent in with the Conceptual Plan Application for this updated project. The City should have everything they need. However, if anything more is needed please let the project know." The City is requesting a copy of the HydroCAD file for the project, not just the input/output reports. This will alleviate the need for the City to have to re-enter the information, thus increasing opportunities for error.

#### Water

- 1) On sheet C-1.00, there is a note stating "All existing water services shall be abandoned by disconnecting the existing service from the curb stop and closing the existing corporation stop at the water main. This work shall be witnessed by an authorized representative of the City with a minimum 48 hour notice." This note should be revised to require the existing service to be disconnected from the **corporation** stop in addition to closing the corporation stop and the water main.
  - ✓ This comment has been addressed.
- 2) On sheet C-2.01, Typical Gate Valve detail note #5 states to "Check with the municipality for opening and closing rotations and minimum working pressure." This note should be revised to reflect the LDC requirements for a minimum working pressure of 200 psi and "open left" rotation for gate valves.
  - ✓ This comment has been addressed.

## Sanitary Sewer

- 1) On sheet C-2.00, Sanitary Sewer note #1 of the Water & Sewer Construction Notes should be revised to reference the 11/06/2023 version of the Environmental Protection Rules, instead of the 04/12/2019 version as currently shown.
  - ✓ This comment has been addressed.
- 2) On sheet C-2.00, Sanitary Sewer note #2 of the Water & Sewer Construction Notes states "Test procedures and pressure shall be determined jointly by the local approval agency and the engineer." This note should be revised to reference LDC Section 115.D.6 for test procedures and pressure for vacuum testing of sanitary manholes.
  - ✓ This comment has been addressed.
- 3) On sheet C-2.03, the Sanitary Trench Detail should be revised to revise the minimum cover dimension note to refer to sewer lines instead of water lines as currently shown.
  - ✓ This comment has been addressed.
- 4) On sheet C-2.03, Sanitary Mains Notes #5 should be revised to reference the 11/06/2023 version of the Environmental Protection Rules, instead of the 04/12/2019 version as currently shown.
  - √ This comment has been addressed.
- 5) On sheet C-2.03, the Storm/Sanitary Sewer Cleanout Detail should be revised to require a watertight threaded cap inside the valve box; an open vertical pipe is not acceptable.
  - √ This comment has been addressed.

### **Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control**

- 1) The plans should be revised to include a note requiring the contractor to remove any erosion control blanket/matting installed in the City right-of-way upon vegetation establishment.
  - √ This comment has been addressed.

#### Lighting

1) The applicant has stated that "the project is reusing the existing lighting plan, again we understand the building has changed but the available space for lighting remains the same and the lights would mirror for the new layout.

The project is proposing the same type and amount of lighting." The applicant should revise and resubmit the lighting plan to reflect the current proposal.

- ✓ The applicant has submitted revised lighting plans. Please see the Additional Comments section at the end of this letter for comments pertaining to the revised lighting plans.
- 2) The site plan should be revised to depict the locations of proposed light fixtures.
  - √ This comment has been addressed.

#### **Additional Comments**

- 1) The LDC requires a maximum mounting height of 15' for light fixtures in the MF zoning districts. The parking lot light fixtures are shown at a mounting height of 16'. The applicant will need to request a waiver for the proposed 16' mounting height or the plans revised to reflect a 15' mounting height for new light fixtures.
- 2) Based on the Lighting Plan, there appears to be light spillover in excess of 0.2 footcandles onto the adjacent property to the east. Per the LDC requirements, "lighting devices may not produce direct or reflected glare on adjoining properties or streets." The Lighting Plan should be revised accordingly.
- 3) The new sewer service for the proposed building is shown approximately 1.5 feet horizontally from a proposed tree. The plans should be revised to provide a minimum of 10 feet of horizontal clearance between sewer utilities and existing and/or proposed trees.

We have no further comments at this time. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or if we may be of further service.

Respectfully,

Jeffrey P. Kershner, P.E.

President

Cc: Rick Jones Chelsea Mandigo

Jim Kellogg



P: (802) 878-0375 | greg.dixson@krebsandlansing.com

June 10, 2024

Chris Yuen
Community Development Director
City of Essex Junction
2 Lincoln Street
Essex Junction, VT 05452

Re: Sunderland Apartments - 227 Pearl Street Project - Proposed Residential Building

Dear Chris,

Below are detailed responses to each request from City Staff and the City's Engineer. Please let us know if there is anything more you need.

### **Engineers Questions:**

Notes have been added to Sheet C-2.02 in response to this comment to require the contractor to
provide "a complete utility record drawing in AutoCAD and PDF format". Accordingly, we
recommend a condition of approval requiring the submission of record drawings for site utilities to
the City of Essex Junction upon completion of construction, in both AutoCAD and PDF format, in
Vermont State Plane US Survey Feet, NAD83(2011).

# We are okay with this condition

2. The applicant indicated that "All the stormwater information including HydroCAD computations were sent in with the Conceptual Plan Application for this updated project. The City should have everything they need. However, if anything more is needed please let the project know." The City is requesting a copy of the HydroCAD file for the project, not just the input/output reports. This will alleviate the need for the City to have to re-enter the information, thus increasing opportunities for error.

We have attached the HydroCAD Model sent in on 6/10/24.

The LDC requires a maximum mounting height of 15' for light fixtures in the MF zoning districts. The
parking lot light fixtures are shown at a mounting height of 16'. The applicant will need to request a
waiver for the proposed 16' mounting height or the plans revised to reflect a 15' mounting height
for new light fixtures.

The project will request a waiver from the Board to go to 16' because of the concrete base as we did with the original project submission.

2. Based on the Lighting Plan, there appears to be light spillover in excess of 0.2 footcandles onto the adjacent property to the east. Per the LDC requirements, "lighting devices may not produce direct or reflected glare on adjoining properties or streets." The Lighting Plan should be revised accordingly.

See updated lighting plan sent in on 6/10/24.

3. The new sewer service for the proposed building is shown approximately 1.5 feet horizontally from a proposed tree. The plans should be revised to provide a minimum of 10 feet of horizontal clearance between sewer utilities and existing and/or proposed trees.

The project has shifted the tree away from the utility to maintain 10 feet of clearance. See updated plans sent on 6/10/24.

#### **Included Materials:**

Updated Lighting plan (sent to City 6/10/24)

71.60

- Updated Landscape Plan (sent to City 6/10/24)
- HydroCAD Model for Sunderland Apartments (sent to City 6/10/24)

Please let me know if there is anything more you need to evaluate this project. I would be happy to answer any questions.

Sincerely,

Greg Dixson, P.E.